1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332 United States Attorney	USSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) Attorney	
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7	JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI (ILSBN 6273413) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081	*E-FILED - 1/8/10*	
8 9	E-Mail: joseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 09-00487 RMW	
15	Plaintiff,) [] ORDER RESCHEDULING STATUS CONFERENCE AND	
16	v.	EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION	
17	ALBERT HU,	(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))	
18 19	Defendant.)))	
20	This matter is currently set for status on November 23, 2009. The parties now jointly		
21	request that the November 23, 2009 hearing date be reset for January 11, 2010. The grounds for		
22	the parties' request is that: (1) the defense would like additional time to receive the discovery in		
23	the case; (2) the defense would like additional time to confer with the Securities and Exchange		
24	Commission regarding parallel civil proceedings they have initiated against the defendant related		
25	to the alleged offense conduct in the above-captioned criminal case; and (3) the parties would		
26	like additional time to confer regarding a potential disposition of this case. It is the parties'		
27	understanding that the Court would be available to hear on January 11, 2010.		
28	In light of the above, the parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:		
	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER		

Case 5:09-cr-00487-RMW Document 17 Filed 01/08/10 Page 2 of 2

1	1. The currently November 23, 2009 hearing date is hereby vacated.	
2	2. This matter is set for status/trial setting/disposition on January 11, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.	
3	3. The time between November 23, 2009 and January 11, 2010 is excluded under the	
4	Speedy Trial Act. The parties agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would	
5	unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking	
6	into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties agree	
7	that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of	
8	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.	
9	See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).	
10		
11	STIPULATED:	
12	DATED: 11/19/09 /s/ ALEX PARK	
13	Attorney for Defendant	
14		
15	DATED: 11/19/09 /s/ JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI	
16	Assistant United States Attorney	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 1/8/10 RONALD M. WHYTE	
18	DATED: 1/8/10 RONALD M. WHYTE	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		